ADDITIONAL JUDGE FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DECEMBER 1, 1924.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on tle state of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. YATES, from the Committee on the Judiciary, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H. R. 6491]

The Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred H. R. 6491, after hearings and consideration, report favorably thereon

and recommend that the bill do pass.

This legislation is intended to relieve the congestion of business pending in the United States District Court in the western district of New York. As set forth in the hearings held by the subcommittee in charge of this bill, there has been a steady and rapid increase in the business of this court beginning with the year 1919. In May, 1924, there were over 400 cases pending, and it is apparent that it is impossible for any one judge to dispatch all the business. Buffalo and Rochester, two large and rapidly growing cities, are embraced in this district.

The bill has the approval of the Department of Justice, and the letter from the Acting Attorney General is printed herewith as a

part of this report.

Office of the Attorney General, Washington, D. C., April 3, 1924.

Hon. GEORGE S. GRAHAM, Chairman Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives.

My Dear Mr. Chairman: I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter of March 26, 1924, referring to one of February 9, 1924, concerning H. R. 6491, a bill to provide for the appointment of an additional judge of the district court of the United States for the western district of New York.

It would seem that there is need for an additional judge in the western district of New York, but that need is not as urgent as exists in the southern district of New York, the northern district of Georgia, and the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Judicial Circuit.

I therefore approve of the bill, with the hope that same shall not militate against your favorable action upon additional judges in the districts where

against your favorable action upon additional judges in the districts where greater need exists. Very truly yours,

JAMES M. BECK, Acting Attorney General.